1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA * * * 6 7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 2:14-CR-280 JCM (GWF) 8 Plaintiff(s), ORDER 9 v. 10 DOMINIQUE WELLS, et al., 11 Defendant(s). 12 13 Presently before the court are the findings and recommendation of Magistrate Judge Foley. 14 (Doc. #140). No objections were filed, and the deadline for filing objections has passed. 15 Magistrate Judge Foley recommended that defendant's motion to dismiss (doc. #128) be 16 denied. 17 This court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or 18 recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects 19 to a magistrate judge's findings and recommendation, then the court is required to "make a de 20 novo determination of those portions of the report and recommendation to which objection is 21 made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). 22 Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at 23 all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 24 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a 25 magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See United 26 States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review 27 employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no

objections were made); see also Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F.Supp.2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003)

28

Case 2:14-cr-00280-JCM-GWF Document 148 Filed 02/19/16 Page 2 of 2

1 (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in Reyna-Tapia as adopting the view that district courts are 2 not required to review "any issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if there is no 3 objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then this court may accept the recommendation 4 without review. See, e.g., Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a 5 magistrate judge's recommendation to which no objection was filed). 6 Nevertheless, this court finds it appropriate to engage in a de novo review to determine 7 whether to adopt the recommendation of the magistrate judge. Upon reviewing the 8 recommendation and underlying briefs, this court finds good cause to ADOPT the magistrate 9 judge's findings in full. 10 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the findings and 11 12 recommendation of Magistrate Judge Foley, (doc. #140), are ADOPTED in their entirety. 13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant's motion to dismiss (doc. #128) be, and the 14 same hereby is, DENIED. 15 DATED February 19, 2016. 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 17 18 19 20

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28